

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

No. C 08-1171 SBA

SIAUPIA VAOVASA; ELISAPETA
VAOVASA; and LEALOFI PENITITO,
individually and on behalf of all others similarly
situated,

ORDER

[Docket No. 45]

Plaintiffs,

v.

SFO GOOD-NITE INN LLC,

Defendant.

The Court DENIES without prejudice Defendant's Administrative Motion to File Documents Under Seal (the "Motion") [Docket No. 45], because movant has failed to advance any legal argument that the Court has the authority, under the "compelling reasons" standard to seal any of the documents proposed for sealing. *See Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006) (" 'compelling reasons' must be shown to seal judicial records attached to a dispositive motion"). Defendant also fails to establish why redaction alone is insufficient to protect confidential business information. *See* Local Rule 79-5(c).

Pursuant to Local Rule 79-5(e), the Clerk of the Court is directed to notify the submitting party to retrieve the documents; in the alternative, the submitting party may request the Clerk to return them by United States mail.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

December 11, 2008


Sandra Brown Armstrong
United States District Judge